

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,275	09/27/2001	David J. Kim	2079.004500/P6482	3433
7	7590 04/23/2003			
B. Noel Kivlin Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel, P.C. P.O. Box 398 Austin, TX 78767-0398			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TRUNG Q	
Austin, 1A 76	0/0/-0398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	_ :			.~			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/965,275	KIM ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Trung Q Nguyen	2829				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) if apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 S	September 2001 .					
2a)□	<u></u>	s action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> on of Claims	=x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.					
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) 🔲 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep	ted or b) objected to by the E>	caminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provisional application)).			
	The translation of the foreign language provices the translation of the foreign language provices the translation of the foreign language provides the translation of the foreign language.						
Attachment		. p					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A) Species of claims 1-24, apparatus for testing an integrated circuit chip.
 - B) Species of claims 25-29, a method of making an integrated test adapter.
 - C) Species of claims 30-34, an apparatus of making an integrated test adapter.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/965,275 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. B. Noel Kivlin on April 16, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. Mr Kivlin elected Species of claims 1-24 without traverse.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The examiner is unclear what is the purpose of having a backing plate and fastener attaching to a lower surface of the printed circuit board. For examining purposes, the examiner assumes that having a backing plate and fastener attaching to a lower surface of the printed circuit board is to provide a stability and flexibility of the printed circuit board.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,275 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, 9-14, 17-19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kudla et al. (U.S. 5,896,037).

As to claim 1 and 11, Dudla et al. disclose in figure 4 an apparatus for testing an integrated circuit chip comprising, a printed circuit device 300 having connector pads 370 or 356, contacts via lower surface of connector 362, and traces extending between at least some of the connector pads and the contacts (see Fig. 4, the extension between 370, 344 and 362), wherein the printed circuit device 300 has openings there through (not shown), intersecting the contacts, that are adapted to receive pins extending from the integrated circuit chip so that the contacts may electrically contact the pins extending from the integrated circuit chip and a connector electrically interconnected with at least some of the connector pads, and a chip socket, such that the pins extending from the integrated circuit chip may be inserted through the printed circuit device and into the chip socket (see Fig. 4, pin header 316, and the BGA pin header 316 is inserted into a first PGA socket 314 which is soldered to the interface adapter board 308. Contact pins 332 extending from the bottom of the interface adapter board 308 are inserted into a second PGA socket 336, the second PGA

Art Unit: 2829

sockets being coupled to a custom pin header 338 which corresponds to the footprint of the BGA chip package 302. The custom pin header 338 is insertable into a custom PGA socket 342 which is soldered to contact pads 306 formed on the printed circuit board 300 between the printed circuit board 300 and the BGA chip package 302).

As to claims 2, 9-10, 14 and 21-22, Dudla et al. disclose in figures 2 and 4 the printed circuit device further comprises: a first flexible dielectric layer 100 having a first surface and a second surface (lower and upper surface of layer 100), a second flexible dielectric layer 138 having a first surface (lower surface), an adhesive layer 142 bonding the first surface of the first flexible layer and the first surface of the second flexible layer; wherein the contacts and the traces are disposed on the first surface of the first flexible dielectric layer, the connector pads extend through the first flexible dielectric layer to the first surface of the first flexible dielectric layer and the second surface of the first flexible dielectric layer (see Fig. 3, the extension between 370, 344 and 362), and the second flexible dielectric layer substantially covers the first surface of the first flexible dielectric layer, the connector pads, the contacts, and the traces (see Fig. 4, column 6, lines 45-67).

As to claim 6, Dudla et al. disclose in figures 3 wherein the printed circuit device has backing plate 254 or 258 attached to the lower surface of the printed circuit device (see Fig. 3) and a fastener (not shown) for attaching the backing plate to the lower surface of the printed circuit device.

As to claims 12-13, Dudla et al. disclose in figures 3 a motherboard 258 and a

Application/Control Number: 09/965,275 Page 6

Art Unit: 2829

daughter card (not shown, but the daughter card will be inserted to socket 262) electrically interconnected with the chip sockets 262.

Claims 18-19, add the limitation of a backing plate attached to the lower surface of the printed circuit device and a fastener for s attaching the backing plate to the lower surface of the printed circuit device for supporting the printed circuit board. Even though Kudla et al. do not disclose a backing plate and a fastener for attaching the backing plate to the lower of printed circuit board. However, the examiner does not see a necessity via of having a backing place under a printed circuit board as teach by Kudla et al. because the printed circuit board as taught by Kudla has a stability and support by it own flat/back surface.

As to claim 23, Dudla et al. disclose in figure 3 the contacts of the chip socket 262 is and the contacts of the printed circuit device are removable engaged with the pins extending from the integrated circuit chip (column 11, lines 1-20).

As to claim 24, Dudla et al. disclose in figure 3 a testing device (not shown) electrically interconnected with the connector for testing the integrated circuit chip (column 12, lines 27-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2829

6. Claims 3-5, 8, 15-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kudla et al. (U.S. 5,896,037).

As to claims 3-5, 8, 15-16 and 20 add the limitation of wherein the first flexible dielectric layer an apparatus, according to claim 2, and the second flexible dielectric layer are made of a polyamide material or acrylic adhesive or an epoxy adhesive. However, polyamide and acrylic or epoxy adhesive layer are well known in the semiconductor industry for making a high quality printed circuit board because they provide a great flexibility, small scale, low power dissipation and increasing system flexibility.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace layers 236 and 242 as taught by kudla to be polyamide material or acrylic adhesive or an epoxy adhesive, so as to receive the obvious benefits derived there from, such as increased system flexibility.

As to claims 5 and 17, add the limitation wherein the printed circuit device has a thickness no greater than about 0.5 mm. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to make the printed circuit board to be .5mm because it has been held that changes in shape and size are a matter of obvious design choice, absent any persuasive evidence that the change in configuration was significant. In addition, the thickness of the printed circuit board is base on the thickness of the layers mention above.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,275

Art Unit: 2829

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trung Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30AM – 5:00PM. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cuneo Kammie can be reached at (703) 308-1233.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

KAMAND CUNEO

Page 8

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500

Trung NguyenPatent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2829
April 17, 2003